

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,490	12/30/1999	LINDSAY S. MACHAN	110129.411	7911
41551 759	90 02/08/2006	EXAMINER		INER
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			HO, UYEN T	
701 FIFTH AVENYUE, SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAIL ED 02/00/2004	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/476,490	MACHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
	and the second	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7,11-20,30-33,35,37 and 44-56 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 44-54 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7,11-20,30-33,35,37,55,56 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration. ejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 12-17, 30, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lau (6,001,123). Lau discloses a device and method for treating patients having an aneurysm, wherein the device comprising a self-expanded stent graft as claimed (Figs. 13-16, Col. 25-27) which releases growth factor for inducing the in vivo adhesion of the stent-graft to vessel walls (col. 17, lines 26-67).

Regarding claim 15, the self-expanded tubular stent graft is balloon expandable if one desired to do so. The introductory statement of intended use, "balloon-expandable" and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Lau's device which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Regarding claims 16-17, the introductory statement of intended use, "adapted to release" and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Lau's device which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Application/Control Number: 09/476,490 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 11, 18-20, 32, 35, 37, 55, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Lau '123.

Regarding to claims 11, it is known in the art that many bifurcated areas in

human vascular systems have aneurysm problem and it is also well known in the art to

have bifurcated stent-graft for treat aneurysm at bifurcated areas in human vascular

systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to modify Lau's stent-graft by having the stent-graft

with bifurcated configuration in order to treat the aneurysm problem at a bifurcated

vessel site.

Regarding claims 18-20, 32, 37, 56, a polymer coating for controlling drug

release from an implant is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a

coating for controlling growth factor release from Lau's stent-graft to make the stent

graft in an inactive form during delivery and placement procedure and in an active form

at a desired site in order to provide a better delivery and placement of the stent-graft

and prevent active agent release at undesired site.

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding claims 4, 35 and 55, although, Lau does not disclose a vessel wall irritant is talcum powder, metallic beryllium or silica. The claimed materials are the well-known adhesion materials or/and that induce adhesion in art. Lau reference concerns about adhering the graft stent to the body lumen. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the materials as claimed to enhance the adhesion between the stent-graft and vessel wall.

To substitute a well known material based on its suitability for the intended use without special functional significance are not patentable, in re Hotchkiss v. Greenwood, 52 USPQ 248.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

February 2, 2006